

---

# Significance of calcareous algae across the Cretaceous-Tertiary sequence of Cauvery Basin in Tiruchirapalli District, Tamil Nadu

Amit K. Ghosh, B.N. Jana & P.K. Maithy

---

Ghosh AK, Jana BN & Maithy PK 1997. Significance of calcareous algae across the Cretaceous-Tertiary sequence of Cauvery Basin in Tiruchirapalli District, Tamil Nadu. *Palaeobotanist* 46 (1,2) : 161-167.

Calcareous skeletal algae belonging to Cyanophyta (Cyanobacteria), Chlorophyta and Rhodophyta are known from Uttatur, Trichinopoly, Ariyalur and Niniyur groups of Tiruchirapalli District, Tamil Nadu. An attempt has been made to analyse the algal assemblages across the Cretaceous-Tertiary sequence in Tiruchirapalli District, Tamil Nadu. The distribution pattern of algal taxa indicates that there is a gradual change in algal flora from Cretaceous to Tertiary.

**Key-words**--Calcareous algae, Distribution pattern, Cretaceous -Tertiary sequence, India.

Amit K. Ghosh, B.N. Jana & P.K. Maithy, Birbal Sabni Institute of Palaeobotany, 53 University Road, Lucknow 226 007, India.

## सारांश

तमिलनाडु के तिरुचिरापल्ली जनपद में कावेरी द्रोणी के क्रीटेशियस-टर्शियरी अनुक्रम में चूनामय शैवाल का महत्व

अमित कुमार घोष, बृजेन्द्र नाथ जाना एवं प्रभात कुमार माइती

तमिलनाडु के तिरुचिरापल्ली जनपद में उतातुर, त्रिचनापल्ली, अरियालूर एवं निनियूर नामक समूहों से सियनोजीवाणु, क्लोरोफाइटा एवं रोडोफाइटा नामक मंडलों से सम्बद्ध चूनामय मेखलीय शैवाल ज्ञात हैं। इस जनपद में क्रीटेशियस-टर्शियरी अनुक्रम से उपलब्ध शैवालीय समुच्चयों का विश्लेषण करने का प्रयास किया गया है। शैवालीय वर्गों के वितरण से उक्त काल में शैवालीय वनस्पतिजात में शनैः शनैः परिवर्तन प्रदर्शित होता है।

SINCE 1931, calcareous algae have been reported from the Cretaceous-Tertiary sediments of Tiruchirapalli District, Tamil Nadu. Rama Rao and Prasannakumar (1932) described *Lithothamnium* from the Kallakkudi (Dalmiapuram) limestone mines (Dalmiapuram Formation) belonging to Uttatur Group. Narayan Rao (1944, 1946) reported two new species, namely, *Solenopora coromandelensis* and *S. jurassica* and Rama Rao and Gowda (1954) described *Solenopora sabnii* and *Sporolithon (Archaeolithothamnium) lugeonti* (sensu Ghosh & Maithy, 1996) from the same beds. Gowda (1978) recorded *Solenopora* sp. and *Amphiroa* sp. from the Varagapaudy Limestone of Uttatur Group. Varma (1952), for the first time, reported the occurrence of *Clypeia sabnii* from the Trichinopoly Group of rocks. In recent times, Misra and Kumar (1988) investigated the Varagur limestones of Trichinopoly

Group and reported 31 species of fossil algae belonging to 17 genera of Cyanophyta (Cyanobacteria), Chlorophyta and Rhodophyta. From the Ariyalur Group, Mamgain *et al.* (1968) have reported *Sporolithon (Archaeolithothamnium)* sp., *Lithothamnium* sp. and *Mesophyllum* sp. from southwest of Ariyalur town. Porostromata algae consisting of *Rivularia lissaviensis*, *R. piaae*, *R. theodori*, *Rivularia* sp. cf. *R. dianaae* and *Garwoodia toomeyi* have been described by Ghosh and Maithy (1995) from the Cretaceous sediments of Sendurai, Tiruchirapalli District. Rama Rao (1931) reported *Sporolithon (Archaeolithothamnium) torulosum* from Niniyur beds. In the classical contribution on fossil algae from Niniyur Group, Rama Rao and Pia (1936) described 11 taxa belonging to Dasycladaceae, Chaetophoraceae, Solenoporaceae and Corallinaceae. Rama Rao (1938, 1956, 1958) described few dasyclads

from the Niniyur Group and reviewed the previous work done on fossil algae from this group. Varma (1952, 1954) reported *Clypeuta*, *Neomeris* and *Acicularia* (Dasycladaceae) and Gowda (1953, 1959) described *Holosporella* and *Pianta niniyurensis* from the Niniyur beds. From the Palaeocene (Danian) of Niniyur Group, Rama Rao and Gowda (1954) reported *Solenopora tiruchiensis*, and Pal (1972) reported *Sporolithon* (*Archaeolithothamnium*) *pondicherriensis*, *S. zonatum* and *Distichoplax raoti*. In the present paper an attempt has been made to analyse the algal assemblages from different outcrop areas of Cretaceous-Tertiary sequence in Tiruchirapalli District and to ascertain the significance of calcareous algae in biostratigraphy.

### GEOLOGICAL SETTING

Cretaceous-Tertiary sediments in the Cauvery Basin, classified into four groups, viz., Uttatur, Trichinopoly, Ariyalur and Niniyur, occur as isolated patches outcropping in the western margin fringing the Archaean (chamokite/gneiss) basement. The first geological mapping of the area was carried out by Blanford (1862). Later, significant contributions on micropalaeontology and sedimentology (Rama Rao, 1956; Pascoe, 1959; Sastry *et al.*, 1968, 1972; Sundaram & Rao, 1966; Banerji, 1966, 1972, 1973; Chiplonkar & Tapaswi, 1975; Jain, 1977; Govindan, 1977, Govindan *et al.*, 1996, and others) along with detailed geological mapping and band-by-band aerial photomapping (Venkataraman & Rangaraju 1965, in Govindan *et al.*, 1996) gave a clear picture of the stratigraphy of Tiruchirapalli area.

The marine Cretaceous-Tertiary sequence of Cauvery Basin in Tiruchirapalli District is represented at its base by Uttatur Group which lies unconformably over the Kaolinite-Smectite dominant Therani plant beds of Upper Gondwana (Therani Bed lies unconformably over the Archaean). Lithologically the Uttatur Group comprises basal conglomerates, grey shale (Subbaraman, 1968), algal-coralline limestone (Banerji, 1972) and Karai Shale. Govindan *et al.* (1996) identified Maravathur Clay as a facies variation of Karai Shale and grouped it in Uttatur. An unconformity of small duration exists between the Uttatur Group and basal Paravay Formation of Trichinopoly Group. The Paravay Formation comprising calcareous sandstone,

argillaceous limestone and limestone is overlain unconformably by the Garudamangalam Formation which consists of ferruginous sandstone, grey shale, limestone and, in turn, is followed by current bedded sandstone. The Trichinopoly Group is unconformably overlain by Ariyalur Group, consisting two sandstone bodies separated by a limestone. The basal Sillakkudi Formation of Ariyalur Group comprises ferruginous arkosic sandstone and limestone bands. The overlying Kallankurichi Formation consists of grainstone to wackestone with *Lepidorbitoides* bryozoans, echinoid and molluscan shells. The lower part of the upper sandstone body, composed of megafaunal rich buff coloured calcareous sandstone is well developed in the central part which is designated as Ottakovil Formation (Sastry *et al.*, 1972). The upper part comprising argillaceous sandstone and ferruginous claystone of continental origin is known as Kallamedu Sandstone. The topmost lithohorizon of the Cretaceous-Tertiary Sequence, conformably overlying the Ariyalur Group is represented by Niniyur Group of Danian age and is characterised by fossiliferous, white to cream coloured marls and calcareous clays.

A generalised lithostratigraphic sequence is represented in Table 1 (modified after Govindan *et al.*, 1996)

### ALGAL ASSEMBLAGES FROM CRETACEOUS-TERTIARY SUCCESSION OF TIRUCHIRAPALLI AREA

#### A. Algal Assemblage from the Uttatur Group

##### Cyanophyceae (Cyanobacteria)

*Rivularia* (*Cayeuxia*) *fruticulosa*, *Rivularia* sp.

##### Dasycladaceae

*Acicularia antiqua*, *Larvaria occidentalis*, *Neomeris* sp., *Halimeda* sp.

##### Solenoporaceae

*Solenopora jurassica*, *S. coromandelensts*, *S. sabnit* and *Sporolithon* (*Archaeolithothamnium*) *lugeonti*.

In addition to the above listed algal taxa recorded from the Kallakkudi Limestone (Dalmiapuram Formation), from Varagapaudy Limestone of Uttatur Group Gowda (1978) reported the occurrence of

Table 1

AGE	GROUP	OUTCROP	AREA
		FORMATION	
DANIAN	NINIYUR	NINIYUR	
MAASTRI- CHTIAN	A R I Y A L U R	KALLAMEDU Sandstone	
		OTTAKOVIL	
		KALLANKURICHI Limestone	
		SILLAKKUDI	
CAMPANIAN	TRICHI- NOPLY	GARUDAMANGALAM	
SANTONIAN		PARAVAY	
CONIACIAN		MARAVATTUR Clay	
TURONIAN	U T T A T U R	K A R A I Shale	KALLAKUDDI Limestone  Grey Shale  Conglomerate
CENOMANIAN			
ALBIAN			
APTIAN			
EARLY CRETACEOUS LATE JURASSIC		THERANI PLANT BEDS	
ARCHAEAN CRYSTALLINES			

Red algae *Solenopora* belonging to Solenoporaceae and *Amphiroa* belonging to Corallinaceae (Corallinoideae, articulated corallines).

### B. Algal Assemblage from the Trichinopoly group

#### Cyanophyceae (Cyanobacteria)

*Rivularia* sp. cf. *R. kurdistanensis*, *Palaeomastigocladus indicus*.

#### Siphonocladaceae

*Pycnoporidium lobatum*.

#### Dasycladaceae

*Clypenia sahntii*, *Cylindroporella* sp. cf. *C. sugdenii*, *Indopolia* sp. cf. *I. satyavanti*, cf. *Larvaria* sp., *Neomeris cretaceae*.

#### Solenoporaceae

*Solenopora filiformis*, *S. truchtenensis*, cf. *Solenopora* sp. A., *Solenopora* sp., *Parachaetetessp.*, *P. tsvapattii*, *Thaumatoporella incrustrata*.

#### Squamariaceae

*Ethelia* sp., *E. alba*.

#### Corallinaceae (Melobesoideae, Crustose Corallines)

*Sporolithon* (*Archaeolithothamnium*) *lugeonti*, *S. nonsteinensis*, *S. paristense*, *S. rude*, cf. *Sporolithon* sp., *Mesophyllum* sp. cf. *M. daviesi*, *M. varians*, cf. *Archaeolithophyllum* sp., *Lithophyllum* sp. A., *Lithophyllum* sp. B., cf. *Lithophyllum* sp., *Distichoplax biserialis*.

#### Corallinoideae, articulated coral-lines

*Amphiroa elliotii*, *A. guatemalense*, *A. varagurense*.

#### C. Algal Assemblage from the Ariyalur group

From the Ariyalur Group Rama Rao (1931) and Mangain *et al.* (1968) reported the occurrence of *Lithothamnium*, *Sporolithon* (*Archaeolithothamnium*) and *Mesophyllum* belonging to Corallinaceae (Melobesoideae, Crustose Corallines) of Rhodophyta. Recently Porostromata algae have been recorded by Ghosh and Maithy (1995) from the Cretaceous sediments (equivalent to Ariyalur Group) of Sendurai, Tiruchirapalli District. The assemblage consists of the following taxa :

#### Cyanophyceae (Cyanobacteria)

*Rivularia lissaviensis*, *R. piae*, *R. theodori*, *Rivularia* sp. cf. *R. diana*.

#### Codiaceae

*Garwoodia toomeyi*.

#### D. Algal Assemblage from the Niniyur Group

#### Dasycladaceae

*Acicularia* sp., *A. dyumatsenae*, *A. indica*, *Acttabularia* sp., *Clypenia sahntii*, *Disocladella* sp., *D. undulata*, *D. savitriai*, *Indopolia satyavanti*, *Neomeria* sp., *Orioporella malaviae* and *Piania nntiyurensis*.

#### Cheatophoraceae

*Palaeochlya* sp.

## Solenoporaceae

*Parachaetetes asvapatii*, *Solenopora truchiensts*.

## Corallinaceae (Melobesoideae, Crustose Corallines)

*Sporolithon (Archaeolithothamnium) lugeonti*, *S. torulosum*, *Sporolithon* sp. cf. *S. lycoperdioides*, *Sporolithon* aff. *provinciale*, *Distichoplax raoi*.

## Corallinoideae, Articulated coral-lines

*Corallina raoi*.

## DISCUSSION

It is evident from the distribution pattern (Table 2) that in the marine rocks of Tiruchirapalli District, many Cretaceous algal taxa extend up to the Tertiary (Danian) time, though apparent extinction of many algal forms is also visualised during the Cretaceous, accompanied by the appearance of new algal species in the Niniyur Group (Danian). In view of this situation it is difficult to recognise the biostratigraphic zonation on the basis of algal assemblages. Earlier it has been implied by Rama Rao and Pia (1936) that algae are most helpful in stratigraphic correlation based on index fossils. But recent analysis indicates that it is indeed very problematical to use algae as biostratigraphic marker mainly because of following two reasons :

1. the algal taxa which were used earlier as index fossils are now proved to be long ranging; and
2. many of the forms which were regarded earlier as index algal fossils are doubtful as well as controversial and in some cases are considered as animal remains.

It may not be out of context to mention here that *Distichoplax bisertales*, which is still considered as an index fossil for the rocks having Palaeocene-Lower Eocene age, is present in the Trichinopoly Group (Misra & Kumar, 1988). Pal (1972) reported *Distichoplax* from the Niniyur Group (Danian) and it is stratigraphically quite justified. However, it should be mentioned here that validity of *Distichoplax* as an alga had been questioned by Lemoine (1958) and Lemoine (1961-62). They have shown analogies between chitinous parts of living and fossil Pterobranchia belonging to *Rhabdopleura*, and suggested that *Distichoplax* should not be considered as an alga.

Rama Rao and Pia (1936) expressed their view that presence of *Sporolithon (Archaeolithothamnium) lugeonti* in the Niniyur Group is indicative of Tertiary age, but the taxon is now known to occur in Uttatur and Trichinopoly groups (Rama Rao & Gowda, 1954; Misra & Kumar, 1988). The genus *Corallina* which is known to be restricted to Tertiary everywhere is found in the Dalmiapuram Formation of Uttatur Group (Gowda, 1978). Eventually, it appears that no marker algal taxa in true sense is available to date the Cretaceous-Tertiary rocks of Tiruchirapalli District. However, from the distribution pattern (Table 2) it is evident that there are certain algal taxa which are significantly absent, or present or rich in any particular horizon. These are enumerated below.

The reefoidal limestone of Kallakkudi Limestone (Dalmiapuram Formation) and Varagapaudy Limestone belonging to Uttatur Group contains algae. The algal assemblage from the Dalmiapuram Formation is significantly devoid of Corallinoideae (Articulated Corallines). But the Varagapaudy Limestone which occurs at the base of Uttatur Group (Gowda, 1978) contains the articulated coralline red alga *Amphiroa* in association with *Solenopora* (Solenoporaceae). The latter genus ranges beyond Jurassic (Rama Rao & Gowda, 1954; Gowda, 1976) up to Danian (Keijzer, 1945; Rama Rao & Gowda, 1954).

Majority of the algal taxa described from the Varagur Limestone of Trichinopoly Group (Misra & Kumar, 1988) are Upper Cretaceous forms, but some of them are also known from the deposits older than Jurassic, Jurassic to Middle Cretaceous and Palaeocene to Miocene.

Rama Rao (1931) and Mamgain *et al.* (1968) reported the occurrence of crustose coralline red algae from the Ariyalur Group of rocks. Recently Ghosh and Maithy (1995) described Porostromata algae from the Cretaceous rocks of Sendurai belonging to Ariyalur Group. According to Rama Rao and Pia (1936) the Niniyur algal flora indicates a transitional position between the Cretaceous and Tertiary. The Niniyur algal assemblage (Rama Rao & Pia, 1936) is rich in well calcified Chlorophyta and coralline Rhodophyta. Majority of the algal species in the Niniyur Group are new and the preliminary indications given by their affinities are contradictory. The Niniyur Group may be included in the Mesozoic due to the presence of *Parachaetetes* and absence of specialised

Table 2—Distribution pattern of calcareous algae

TAXA	DISTRIBUTION IN DIFFERENT GROUPS			
	UTTATUR	TRICHINOPOLY	ARIYALUR	NINIYUR
<i>Glypenia sabnii</i>	_____			_____
<i>Neomeris</i> sp.	_____			_____
<i>Sporolithon lugeonii</i>	_____	_____		_____
<i>Sporolithon nonsteinensis</i>	_____	_____		_____
<i>Acicularia antiqua</i>	_____			
<i>Halimeda</i> sp.	_____			
<i>Larvaria occidentalis</i>	_____			
<i>Rivularia fruticulosus</i>	_____			
<i>Rivularia</i> sp.	_____			
<i>Solenopora jurassica</i>	_____			
<i>Solenopora coromandelensis</i>	_____			
<i>Solenopora sabnii</i>	_____			
<i>Amphiroa</i> sp.	_____			
<i>Solenopora</i> sp. A	_____			
<i>Solenopora</i> sp. B	_____			
<i>Amphiroa elliotii</i>		_____		
<i>Amphiroa guatemalense</i>		_____		
<i>Amphiroa varagurense</i>		_____		
<i>Cylindroporella</i> sp. cf. <i>C. sugdeni</i>		_____		
<i>Rivularia</i> sp. cf. <i>kurdistanensis</i>		_____		
<i>Ethelia alba</i>		_____		
<i>Ethelia</i> sp.		_____		
<i>Indopolia</i> sp. cf. <i>I. satyavanti</i>		_____		
cf. <i>Larvaria</i> sp.		_____		
<i>Lithophyllum</i> sp. A		_____		
<i>Lithophyllum</i> sp. B		_____		
cf. <i>Lithophyllum</i> sp.		_____		
<i>Neomeris cretaceae</i>		_____		
<i>Mesophyllum</i> sp. cf. <i>M. daviesi</i>		_____		
<i>Mesophyllum varians</i>		_____		
<i>Palaeomastigocladus indicus</i>		_____		
<i>Pycnoporidium lobatum</i>		_____		
<i>Solenopora filiformis</i>		_____		
<i>Solenopora</i> sp.		_____		
cf. <i>Solenopora</i> sp. A		_____		
<i>Sporolithon parsiense</i>		_____		
<i>Sporolithon rude</i>		_____		
<i>Thaumatoporella incrustata</i>		_____		
<i>Parachaetetes</i> sp.		_____		
<i>Distichoplax biserialis</i>		_____		
<i>Parachaetetes asvapatii</i>		_____		
<i>Lithothamnium</i> sp.			_____	
<i>Lithophyllum</i> sp.			_____	
<i>Mesophyllum</i> sp.			_____	
<i>Sporolithon</i> sp.			_____	
<i>Rivularia</i> sp. cf. <i>Rivularia dianae</i>			_____	
<i>Rivularia lissaviensis</i>			_____	
<i>Rivularia ptiae</i>			_____	
<i>Rivularia theodori</i>			_____	
<i>Garwoodia toomeyi</i>			_____	
<i>Acicularia dyumatsenae</i>				_____
<i>Acicularia indica</i>				_____
<i>Acicularia</i> sp.				_____
<i>Acitabularia</i> sp.				_____
<i>Corallina raoi</i>				_____
<i>Disocladella savitriae</i>				_____
<i>Disocladella</i> sp.				_____
<i>Disocladella undulata</i>				_____
<i>Distichoplax raoi</i>				_____
<i>Indopolia satyavanti</i>				_____
<i>Orioporella malaviae</i>				_____
<i>Piania niniyurensis</i>				_____
<i>Solenopora tiruchiensis</i>				_____
<i>Sporolithon</i> aff. <i>provinciale</i>				_____
<i>Sporolithon pondicherriensis</i>				_____
<i>Sporolithon</i> sp. cf. <i>lycoperdioides</i>				_____
<i>Sporolithon torulosum</i>				_____
<i>Sporolithon zonatum</i>				_____
<i>Palaeochlya</i> sp.				_____

Melobesoideae in the Niniyur assemblage. On the other hand, presence of *Sporolithon* (*Archaeolithothamnium*) *lugeonti* and *Ortoporella* would point to a Tertiary age of the Niniyur Group.

Therefore, Rama Rao and Pia (1936) expressed that algae can not play any significant role in the stratigraphic zonation in south India. However, the authors while discussing the age of Niniyur Group based on fossil algal assemblage stated that the Niniyur rocks must be given an age towards the close of Upper Cretaceous, for they overlie the Ariyalur Group.

A perusal of the foregoing discussion reveals that algae can not be used as an important tool to demarcate the K/T boundary. However, a critical evaluation of the distribution pattern of calcareous algae from the Cretaceous-Tertiary sediments of Tiruchirapalli District allows us to draw the following conclusions :

1. The Cretaceous algal assemblages consist of Cyanophyta or Cyanobacteria (10%), Chlorophyta (20-25%) and Rhodophyta (60-65%), whereas, the Tertiary assemblages consist of Chlorophyta (40-45%) and Rhodophyta (50-55%).
2. Calcified Cyanophyta (Cyanobacteria) which are frequent forms in the Cretaceous, are not present in the Tertiary algal assemblage recovered from the Niniyur Group.
3. The green algae like *Acticularia*, *Disocladella*, *Orioporella* and *Pianta* are only known from the Niniyur Group, whereas, forms like *Cylindroporella*, *Chlypenta*, *Haltmeda*, etc. are only recorded from the underlying Cretaceous deposits. Codiaceae are poorly represented in the Tertiary deposits.
4. Gymnocodiaceae and Solenoporaceae (Rhodophyta) significantly contribute to the algal assemblages of Cretaceous deposits, whereas, their presence in the Tertiary deposits is unimportant. Among the crustose corallines, frequency of occurrence and morphological variations of the alga *Sporolithon* (*Archaeolithothamnium*) increases in the Tertiary sediments in comparison to those of Cretaceous.
5. In the Tertiary deposits (Niniyur Group, Danian) a majority of the algal species are new (viz., *Acticularia dyumatsenae*, *A. indica*, *Disocladella savitriae*, *Indopolia satyavanti*, *Pianta niniyurensis*). Apparent extinction of many algal forms (viz., *Haltmeda* sp., *Lithophyllum* sp.,

*Lithothamnium* sp., *Mesophyllum* sp., *Larvaria occidentalis*, *Rivularia* spp. and *Amphiroa* spp.) is also noticed from Cretaceous to Tertiary. These are indicative of the fact that there is a gradual change in algal flora from Cretaceous to Tertiary, due to which preponderance of new species is visualised in the algal assemblage of Niniyur Group (Danian).

## REFERENCES

- Banerji RK 1966. The genus *Globotruncana* and biostratigraphy of the Lower Ariyalur Stage (Upper Cretaceous) of Vridhachalam, south India. *J. geol. Soc. India* 7: 51-69.
- Banerji RK 1972. On the stratigraphy and micropalaeontology of Dalmiapuram Formation (Lower Cretaceous) - a new rock-stratigraphic unit of south India. *J. palaeont. Soc. India* 15: 32-41.
- Banerji RK 1973. Stratigraphy and micropalaeontology of the Cauvery Basin. Part I. Exposed area. *J. palaeont. Soc. India* 17: 7-30.
- Blanford HF 1862. On the Cretaceous and other rocks of south Arcot and Trichinopoly districts. *Mem. geol. Surv. India* 4(1): 1-217.
- Chiplonkar GW & Tapaswi PM 1975. Biostratigraphy of the Inoceramids in the Upper Cretaceous of Trichinopoly District, south India. *Biovigyanam* 1: 11-15.
- Ghosh AK & Maithy PK 1995. Porostromata algae from the Cretaceous of Sendurai, Tiruchirapalli District, Tamil Nadu, India. *J. palaeont. Soc. India* 40: 49-53.
- Ghosh AK & Maithy PK 1996. On the present status of Coralline red alga *Archaeolithothamnium* Roth. from India. *Palaeobotanist* 45: 64-70.
- Govindan A 1977. Late Cretaceous and Lower Tertiary foraminiferal genus *Bolivinoidea* from the Cauvery Basin, south India and its palaeobiogeographical significance. *J. geol. Soc. India* 18: 459-476.
- Govindan A, Ravindran CN & Rangaraju MK 1996. Cretaceous stratigraphy and planktonic foraminiferal zonation of Cauvery Basin, south India. *Mem. geol. Soc. India* 37: 155-187.
- Gowda SS 1953. Occurrence of *Holosporella* in the Niniyur Group (Danian) of Trichinopoly, Cretaceous of south India. *Curr. Sci.* 22(6): 169-170.
- Gowda SS 1959. *Pianta niniyurensis*, a new dasycladaceous alga from the Niniyur Group (Danian) of the Trichinopoly Cretaceous, south India. *J. geol. Soc. India* 1: 152-155.
- Gowda SS 1978. Fossil algae *Solenopora* and *Amphiroa* from the Trichinopoly Cretaceous rocks of south India. *Curr. Sci.* 47(14): 502-503.
- Jain KP 1977. Additional dinoflagellates and acritarchs from grey shale member of Dalmiapuram Formation, south India. *Palaeobotanist* 24(3): 170-194.
- Keijzer FG 1945. *Geogr. Geol. Meded.* 2: 6.
- Lemoine M 1961-62. Comparison de *Distichoplax biserialis* et des *Rhabdopleura* fossils et actuels. *Rev. Micropaleont.* 3(2): 95-102.
- Lemoine P 1952. Sur l'attribution du *Distichoplax biserialis* (Diet.) Pia aux pterobranches (*Rhabdopleura*) C. r. *Acad. Sci. Paris* 246(14): 2145-2148.
- Mamgain VD, Gururaja MN & Sastry MVA 1968. Fossil algae from Ariyalur Group (Upper Cretaceous), south India. *Indian Min.* 22(1): 53-56.
- Misra PK & Kumar P 1988. Fossil algae from the Cretaceous of Varagur, Tiruchirapalli District, Tamil Nadu. *Palaeobotanist* 37: 36-51.

- Narayan Rao SR 1944. Upper Jurassic marine algae from Trichinopoly, south India. *Curr. Sci.* **13**: 101-102.
- Narayan Rao SR 1946. On two species of *Solenopora* from the Cully-goody Limestone of the Trichinopoly District, south India. *J. Indian bot. Soc. (M.O.P. Iyenger Comm. Vol.)*: 331-337.
- Pal AK 1972. Further discovery of fossil algae in the Niniyur Group (Palaeocene) of the type area. In : Ghosh AK *et. al.* (Editors)—*Proceedings of the Seminar on Paleopalynology and Indian Stratigraphy* : 243-247. Calcutta University, Calcutta.
- Pascoe EH 1959. *A manual of geology of India and Burma- 2*. 3rd Ed., Calcutta.
- Rama Rao L 1931. On the occurrence of *Lithothamnium* in south Indian Cretaceous. *Nature* **128**: 225-226.
- Rama Rao L 1938. Recent discoveries of fossil algae in the Cretaceous rocks of south India. *Curr. Sci.* **7** : 1-6.
- Rama Rao L 1956. Recent contribution to our knowledge of the Cretaceous rocks of south India. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.* **B41**: 185-245.
- Rama Rao L 1958. Fossil algae in India. *Nature* **181**: 544-545.
- Rama Rao L & Gowda SS 1953. Occurrence of *Clypeina* (Dasycladaceae) in the Niniyur Group (Danian) of the south Indian Cretaceous. *Curr. Sci.* **22**: 332-333.
- Rama Rao L & Gowda SS 1954. Solenoporaceae from the Cretaceous rocks of south India. *Curr. Sci.* **23**(6): 177-179.
- Rama Rao L & Pia J 1936. Fossil algae from the uppermost Cretaceous beds (the Niniyur Group) of the Trichinopoly District, south India. *Mem. geol. Surv. India, Palaeont. indica*, n. ser., **21**(4): 1-49.
- Rama Rao L & Prasanna Kumar C 1932. Occurrence of *Lithothamnium* in the south Indian Cretaceous. *Nature* **129**: 776-777.
- Sastry MVA, Mamgain VD & Rao BRJ 1972. Ostracod fauna from the Ariyalur Group (Upper Cretaceous), Trichinopoly District, south India. *Mem. geol. Surv. India, Palaeont. indica*, n. ser., **40**: 1-59.
- Sastry MVA, Rao BRJ & Mamgain VD 1968. Biostratigraphic zonation of the Trichinopoly District, south India. *Mem. geol. Soc. India* **2**: 10-17.
- Subbaraman JV 1968. Surface and subsurface geology of the area around Dalmiapuram, Trichinopoly District. *Mem. geol. Soc. India* **2**: 92-98.
- Sundaram R & Rao PS 1966. Lithostratigraphy of Cretaceous and Palaeocene rocks of Trichirapalli District, Tamil Nadu, south India. *Rec. geol. Surv. India* **115** (5): 9-23.
- Varma CP 1952. *Clypenia* (Dasycladaceae) from the Cretaceous of S. India. *Palaeobotanist* **1**: 339-341.
- Varma CP 1954. On the algal genera *Neomeris* and *Acicularia* from the Niniyur (Danian) beds, Trichinopoly (south India). *Proc. natn. Inst. Sci. India* **20**: 298-304.